THE IHSA Music Instrumental Jazz Ensemble Adjudication Form Program/Event # Order of Appearance Event _____ Class ____ Number in Ensemble _____ School Name _____ Director_____ Selection Composer Publisher #1 #3 SCORE AREA OF CONCERN AND COMMENTS **ENSEMBLES** (all wind and rhythm section) **Overall Tone Quality** Intonation **Rhythmic Feel** Balance, Blend Interpretation **Authority, Precision** Musicianship **RHYTHM SECTION** Time Fills, Back-up SOLOS Creativity, Musicianship Division I (Superior) = 50.0 to 45.0 = 5 - A superior performance --- outstanding in nearly every detail Total Division II (Excellent) = 44.9 to 35.0 = 4 - An excellent performance — minor defects Division **III** (Good) = 34.9 to 25.0 = **3** - A good performance --- lacking finesse and/or interpretation Circle Rating Do Not Round Up Division IV (Fair) = 24.9 to 15.0 = 2 - A fair performance — basic weaknesses Division V (Poor) = 14.9 to 10.0 = 1 - A poor performance — unsatisfactory

Signature of Adjudicator

IHSA Music Jazz Choir Adjudication Form								
Order of Ap	pearance	•	Program/Event #					
			Number in Ensemble					
School Nan	ne	Directo	r Publisher					
#1								
#3		_						
SCORE AREA OF CONCERN AND COMMENTS								
-	Tone Quality - Female	Sound, Projection, I	Male Sound, Pitch					
	Intonation - Within ensemble, Accuracy to printed pitches							
-	Balance, Blend - Likeness of qualities, Awareness of ensemble, Accompaniment							
	Rhythm, Accuracy, Precision - Accuracy, Steady Pulse, Tempi							
	Interpretation - Timbre, Musicianship, Dynamics, Phrasing							
-	Diction - Pronunciation, Enunciation, Unified Vowel Formation, Concise Consonants							
	Continuity of Performance - Consistent level of performance, Smooth Transitions							
	Performance Factors - Choice of literature, Appropriate appearance, Poise, Posture, General conduct, Mannerisms, Facial expression							
	Total Circle Rating Do Not	Round Up						
34.9 to 26.0	Division I (Superior) Division II (Excellent)	4 - A	Signature of Adjudicator superior performance outstanding in nearly every detail n excellent performance — minor defects					
25.9 to 18.0 17.9 to 8.0 7.9 to 4.0		2 - A	a good performance lacking finesse and/or interpretation fair performance basic weaknesses a poor performance unsatisfactory					

rder of Appeara	nce				Program/	Event #	
vent		Class	s		Number i	n Ensemble	
chool Name _				Director			
						Publisher	
<u> </u>							
	AREA OF						
Tor	ne Quality r	esonance,	control, cla	rity, focus,	consister	cy, warmth	
Into	nation with	in ensemble,	accuracy to p	orinted pitches			
Rhy	thm accuracy	of note and	rest values,	duration, p	oulse, ste	adiness, corr	rectness of meters
Bal	ance, Blend	likeness of qu	ualities, awa	reness of ens	emble, ac	companiment	
Bar	ance, Blend	likeness of qu	ualities, awa	reness of ens	emble, ac	companiment	
-	ance, Blend	likeness of qu	ualities, awa	reness of enso	emble, ac	companiment	
	, in the second	·			·	·	usical and/or mechani
	, in the second	·			·	·	usical and/or mechani
	, in the second	·			·	·	usical and/or mechani
· Te	chnique (fac	cility/accur	racy) artistry,	attacks, rele	ases, contr	ol of ranges, mu	usical and/or mechani motional involveme
· Te	chnique (fac	cility/accur	racy) artistry,	attacks, rele	ases, contr	ol of ranges, mu	
Te	chnique (fac	cility/accur	racy) artistry,	attacks, rele	ases, contr	ol of ranges, mu	
· Te	chnique (fac	cility/accur Musicians	racy) artistry,	attacks, rele	ases, contr	ol of ranges, mu	motional involveme
Te	chnique (fac	cility/accur Musicians	racy) artistry,	attacks, rele	ases, contr	ol of ranges, mu	motional involveme
· Te	chnique (fac	cility/accur Musicians	racy) artistry,	attacks, rele	ases, contr	ol of ranges, mu	motional involveme
Te-	chnique (fac	cility/accur	racy) artistry,	attacks, rele	ases, contr	ol of ranges, mu	motional involveme
	erpretation, etion - Vocal	cility/accur Musicians	hip style,	attacks, rele	tempo,	ol of ranges, mudynamics, electriculation –	motional involveme
Te	erpretation, etion - Vocal	cility/accur Musicians	hip style,	attacks, rele	tempo,	ol of ranges, mudynamics, electriculation –	motional involvement
	erpretation, etion - Vocal	Musicians Factors ppropriate app	hip style, Bowing – earance, poise	attacks, rele	ases, contraction tempo,	ol of ranges, mu dynamics, er rticulation –	motional involvement Winds al expression (vocal)
Te	erpretation, etion - Vocal	Musicians Factors ppropriate app	hip style, Bowing – earance, poise.	attacks, rele phrasing, Strings posture, gener - A superior pe	tempo, All all conduct, reformance -	ol of ranges, mudynamics, electriculation –	motional involvement Winds al expression (vocal) early every detail

Signature of Adjudicator_

IHSA Music Swing/Show Choir Adjudication Form								
Order of Ap	pearance		Program/Event #					
Event	CI	ass	Number in Ensemble					
School Nan	ne	Direc	etor					
Selection		Composer	Publisher					
#2								
SCORE AREA OF CONCERN AND COMMENTS								
	Tone Quality - Female							
	Intonation - Within ense	emble. Accuracy to p	rinted pitches					
-	Intonation - Within ensemble, Accuracy to printed pitches							
	Balance, Blend - Likeness of qualities, Awareness of ensemble, Accompaniment							
	Rhythm, Accuracy, Precision - Accuracy , Steady Pulse, Tempi							
	Interpretation - Timbre	e Musicianship Dyna	amics Phrasing					
-	Interpretation - Timbre, Musicianship, Dynamics, Phrasing							
	Diction - Pronunciation, Enunciation, Unified Vowel Formation, Concise Consonants							
	Continuity of Performance - Consistent level of performance, Smooth Transitions							
	Performance Factors - Choice of literature, Appropriate appearance, Poise, Posture, General conduct, Mannerisms, Facial expression							
	Movement/Choreographic Creativity - Choreography/Staging, Difficulty of Movement/Risks, Variety of Visual Style, Strength and Vitality of Movement, Showmanship, Facials							
	Choreographic Appropriateness/Involvement - Spacing has Clarity, Execution/Accuracy, Rhythmic Precision of Movement, Body Carriage/Posture, Visuals Compliment the Vocal Presentation							
	Total Circle Rating Do No	ot Round Up						
50.0 to 45.0	Division I (Superior)	5 A supori	Signature of Adjudicator or performance outstanding in nearly every detail					
	Division II (Superior) Division II (Excellent)		or performance outstanding in nearly every detail llent performance — minor defects					
34.9 to 25.0	Division III (Good)	3 - A good p	performance lacking finesse and/or interpretation					
	Division IV (Fair) Division V (Poor)		rformance — basic weaknesses performance — unsatisfactory					